

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,433	04/03/2006	Neil C. Bird	GB030177US1	9694	
24738 PHILIPS INT	7590 07/15/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 3001			PATEL, MUNJALKUMAR C		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510-8	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/15/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/574,433	BIRD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Munjal Patel	2617		

	Munjal Patel	2617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reques for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07().)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled it be date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filled it is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked, A yre perly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENUMENTS 3								
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c			16 133463 101					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617								

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments on page 11 paragraph 4 - page 14 paragraph 1 regarding prior art "Libes" failing to teach "detecting a duration of the proximity of the first device and the second device to each other, and establishing the link in response to the duration exceeding a predetermined duration and the link is not already established" is not persuasive. The examiner respectfully disagrees as Libes (Fig 23) discloses listening for N millisecond with 250-N-250 and after exceeding the time (N>250) it makes a decision to create a connection, hence decision to create connection based on N value.

Applicant's argument on page 14 paragraph 2 regarding Libes failing to teach connection is created in response to N exceeding a predetermined duration is not persuasive. The examiner disagrees as Libes discloses in Fig 23 a decision to create connection if 250×N-250 then it proceeds to create a connection, which is after the value of N exceeds the range 250 millisecond (predetermined time or duration).

In the present response of the instant application, the Applicant's arguments essentially do not traverse the issue(s) as addressed above and/or as rejected in the Final Action. Therefore, in view of the reasons above, the Final Action mailed on April 29th, 2009 is maintained.